## STEP 2 - Scenarios

Taking FATE* on the road
${ }^{*}$ FATE - Futures Assessed alongside socio-Technical Evolutions

## NATO SAS-RTC-176

## The FATE Method

A problem - scoped as a Socio-Technical System (STS)

Step 2 - Future scenario Adapt a scenario into TEMPLES\# if required

## The FATE Method



* Baseline future is an idealised extrapolation of what is emerging today, **Insights from analysis, changes in STS, drivers and resistors of change in future scenarios and/or STS


## FATE - in action with examples

 Step 2
## Enter the Future

You are in year 2040

## Step 2 - Future scenarios

- FATE uses pre-described states of the world ("scenarios")
- Scenario characteristics are explored using the TEMPLES scheme
- What is the TEMPLES scheme?
- Technological, Economical, Military, Political, Legal, Environmental and Social
- Another similar known scheme - PESTLE


## The reasoning behind the use of more than 1 scenario

- We do not know what the future holds
- A 10-15 year look ahead important to influence the defence procurement cycle
- Imagined scenarios this far out into the future also allows conversation to flow freely without classification issues
- More than 1 scenario gives decision-makers options on how to steer under different circumstances
- Opportunity to see whether STS are coupled in different scenarios and merit additional attention


## Step 2 - Future scenarios

- Future worlds ${ }^{\top \mathrm{MM}}$ (FW) were the scenarios used in e.g.,
- FW differentiated along 3 axes:
- Global power dynamics
- State control
- Resource sustainment
- FW4* and FW5* are an example of a pair of future worlds with pronounced differences for each of the 3 axes


## Future World (FW*) 4



Future World (FW*) 5


## Step 2 - TEMPLE Characteristics of FW4 and FW5

| TEMPLES | Future World 4* | Future World 5* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Technological | -Innovation is driven by the military sector. <br> Positive drivers for technological growth pervasive presence in <br> main aspects of life (transport, work, networking...) | -Technology is flourishing and is favoured by deep innovation |
| Economical | -Large industry prevails, with strong push from military <br> requirements. It is able to mass-produce anything but without the <br> agility to respond to quick changes | -Industry is mainly small, agile and distributed. It cannot flood <br> the market as an organised, large-scale industry can but can <br> capture and respond to the rapid changes of the landscape |
| Military | -Called to operate in multiple contested domain with multipurpose <br> assets | -Armed forces are present mainly for resolving small-scale sub- <br> regional hot spots without the need for global intervention |
| Political | -Fragmented world, strong regionalism. <br> -Strong control through extensive global intelligence. <br> -Large regional powers in contrast | -Strong global cohesion. <br> -The UN is the main governing body for resolving disputes and <br> procuring funds. <br> -Numerous lobbyist entities with contrasting interests |
| Legal | -Lack of standards | -Standards that are well supported |
| Environmental | -Resources are scarce | -Resources are abundant |
| Social | -Sharp inequalities in income and satisfaction, strongly dependent <br> on the social group of belonging. There is no peaceful coexistence <br> across mixed societies. <br> -Media outlets are varied and heterogeneous. | -Income is satisfactory for everyone and there are no sharp <br> inequalities ranging across social groups. Societies are cohesive <br> and supportive. <br> -Media outlets are homogeneous. |

## Scenarios - year 2040

Two scenarios situation in 2040 are provided

1. Read each scenario individually
2. Mark each letter of TEMPLES on stickies
3. As a group discuss placement of stickies on the big posters

## Pre-worked out Step

## Step 2 - TEMPLE Characteristics of Scenarios

| TEMPLES | A World Adrift* | Tragedy and Mobilization* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Technological |  |  |
| Economical |  |  |
| Military |  |  |
| Political |  |  |
| Legal | Lack of regulations |  |
| Environmental | People frightened about sharing data | People willing to share data |
| Social |  |  |
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## Backup

## Step 2 - TEMPLE Characteristics of Scenarios

| TEMPLES | A World Adrift* | Tragedy and Mobilization* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Technological | Not able to keep pace - hampered by poor policy <br> and administration. | Top-down channeled efforts. Standards are set. <br> But progress is lessoned by lack of incentives. |
| Economical | Strained by climate and other disasters, conflict. <br> China GDP continues to grow. | Transitioned away from capitalist incentives to <br> social cooperation. Probably in disarray. |
| Military | China is predominant in Asia but not strong <br> enough in other places. Brittle equilibrium. | Cooperative. In service of international norms. <br> Move away from nationalism. |
| Political | China fails to transition from disrupter to leader. <br> Global leadership vacuum. | Bottom-up movements, reordering, high trust <br> in institutions, highly cooperative. |
| Legal | Lack of regulations | Strong regulatory system |
| Environmental | Uncontained and worsening. Many unresolved <br> issues. Localized mitigation efforts. | Solutions derived from cooperation and <br> implemented thanks to cooperation mitigate <br> most problems. |
| Social | People frightened about sharing data | People willing to share data |

